

**LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C.**

Nicholas J. Enoch, State Bar No. 023926  
Kaitlyn Redfield-Ortiz, State Bar No. 030318  
Clara S. Acosta, State Bar No. 036044  
349 North Fourth Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
Telephone: 602-234-0008  
Facsimile: 602-626-3586  
Email: [nick@lubinandenoch.com](mailto:nick@lubinandenoch.com)  
[kaitlyn@lubinandenoch.com](mailto:kaitlyn@lubinandenoch.com)  
[clara@lubinandenoch.com](mailto:clara@lubinandenoch.com)

**THORMAN PETROV GROUP CO., LPA**

Daniel P. Petrov, Ohio Bar No. 0074151  
*Pro Hac Vice Application forthcoming*  
Sarah E. Wyss, Ohio Bar No. 0100278  
*Pro Hac Vice Application forthcoming*  
20046 Walker Avenue  
Shaker Heights, Ohio 44122  
Telephone: 216-621-3500  
Facsimile: 216-621-3422  
Email: [dpetrov@tpgfirm.com](mailto:dpetrov@tpgfirm.com)  
[swyss@tpgfirm.com](mailto:swyss@tpgfirm.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Charlotte Cammans,	)	<b>Case No.:</b>
	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
	)	<b>(JURY DEMAND)</b>
Honeywell International, Inc.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

---

1 Plaintiff Charlotte Cammans (hereinafter “Plaintiff” or “Cammans”) brings this  
2 action for damages against Defendant Honeywell International Inc. (“Honeywell” or  
3 “Defendant”) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §  
4 12101, *et seq.* (“ADA”), the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. § 2601,  
5 *et seq.* (“FMLA”), and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et*  
6 *seq.* (“ADEA”).  
7

### 8 **INTRODUCTION**

9 1. Plaintiff Charlotte Cammans is a United States citizen and an Arizona  
10 resident.

11 2. Defendant Honeywell is a foreign corporation with locations in Arizona.  
12 Honeywell operates and does business in Maricopa County, Arizona.

13 3. Plaintiff is an “employee” within the meaning of the ADA, the FMLA, and  
14 the ADEA.

15 4. Defendant Honeywell is a “person,” “individual,” and “employer” as defined  
16 by the ADA, the FMLA, and the ADEA.

17 5. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant until on or around May 13, 2020.

18 6. The conduct, activity, and injuries that gave rise to these claims occurred in  
19 Maricopa County, Arizona. Jurisdiction over Ms. Cammans’ claims is conferred upon this  
20 Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Venue in the United States District Court for the  
21 District of Arizona is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Plaintiff has complied with  
22 all jurisdictional requirements prior to the filing of this lawsuit, which is timely filed, and  
23 jurisdiction and venue are proper.  
24

25 7. Within 180 days of the conduct alleged below, Ms. Cammans filed a Charge  
26 of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”, Charge  
27 No. 540-2021-00242) against Defendant Honeywell (“EEOC Charge”).  
28

**FACTS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS**

8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations from the preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

9. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from approximately February 2019, until approximately May 13, 2020, at which time Defendant terminated her employment.

10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff successfully performed those duties and responsibilities.

11. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff suffered from one or more serious health conditions and was substantially limited in major life activities as a result.

12. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was able to perform the essential functions of her position with or without reasonable accommodation.

13. Plaintiff requested accommodation with respect to her disability, including but not limited to short-term medical leave from work and adjustments to schedule.

14. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was qualified for the position(s) in which she was employed and for the position(s) for which she applied, was considered or was eligible.

15. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was able to perform and did successfully perform the duties and responsibilities of the position she held.

16. Defendant did not terminate Plaintiff for any reasons related to her work performance, her adherence to company policy and practice, or for just cause.

17. Defendant refused to reinstate, rehire, transfer or reassign Plaintiff to available positions for which she was qualified.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Disability Discrimination – Americans with Disabilities Act)**

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations from the preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

1           19. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was disabled, had a record of disability,  
2 and/or was regarded as disabled.

3           20. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was substantially limited in major life  
4 activities including, but not limited to, sitting, lifting, standing, travel, walking, and other  
5 life activities impacted by pain.  
6

7           21. Plaintiff was otherwise qualified to perform the functions of her position.

8           22. Defendant knew or had reason to know that Plaintiff suffered from a  
9 disability, and/or regarded her as disabled.

10          23. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because of her disability by taking  
11 actions including, but not limited to, failing to engage in a good-faith interactive process  
12 concerning Plaintiff's proposed accommodations, terminating her employment, failing to  
13 accommodate her disability, refusing to return her to her former position, refusing to  
14 transfer or recall or rehire her, retaliating against her, harassing her, making derogatory  
15 remarks about her condition, and/or otherwise discriminating against her in the terms,  
16 privileges and conditions of her employment.

17          24. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has  
18 suffered and will continue to suffer past and future economic and non-economic  
19 compensatory damages, including but not limited to pain and suffering, the loss of salary,  
20 back pay, front pay, bonuses, wages, benefits, and other compensation, privileges and  
21 conditions of employment. Defendant is liable for those losses.

22          25. Defendant intentionally, maliciously and willfully violated the ADA in bad  
23 faith. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff under 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.*, for all losses  
24 described herein, interest on the amount of losses described in the preceding paragraph,  
25 punitive damages, reinstatement, all fees and costs including but not limited to reasonable  
26 attorneys' fees and expert fees, and any equitable relief that this Court deems is appropriate  
27 including but not limited to front pay, employment, reinstatement and promotion.  
28

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Family and Medical Leave Act Interference and Retaliation)**

26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations from the preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

27. During the course of Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff suffered from one or more serious health conditions within the meaning of 29 U.S.C § 2611.

28. Defendant knew of Plaintiff's serious medical condition(s) and the medical necessity of the employee taking medical leave for treatment.

29. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an eligible employee within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 2611.

30. Plaintiff provided Defendant with notice of her need for leave as was practicable under the circumstances.

31. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for opposing Defendant's unlawful interference with her exercise of, or the attempt to exercise, her rights as provided under the FMLA and retaliated against Plaintiff both before and after her employment with them ended in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 2615, for which Defendant is liable for economic damages, pre-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 2617.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Age Discrimination – Age Discrimination in Employment Act)**

32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations from the preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

33. Plaintiff was born in 1956, and at all times relevant hereto was over forty (40) years of age.

34. During her term of employment, Plaintiff successfully performed the duties and responsibilities of her position.

35. On or around May 13, 2020, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.

36. At the time of her termination, Plaintiff was qualified for the position she held.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant replaced Plaintiff with, and/or transferred the responsibilities for Plaintiff's position to a person or persons who were under the age of forty (40) and/or substantially younger than Plaintiff.

38. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of age by engaging in actions including, but not limited to, terminating Plaintiff's employment, refusing to transfer, rehire or recall Plaintiff into other available positions for which she was qualified, harassing her, making age-based derogatory remarks, transferring the responsibilities for Plaintiff's position to a person under the age of forty (40) or substantially younger than Plaintiff, and treating Plaintiff differently because of her age in the terms and conditions of her employment.

39. Defendant treats younger employees more favorably than older employees with respect to the terms, conditions and privileges of employment, including, but not limited to, compensation decisions, work assignment decisions, promotion, work rules, termination and hiring decisions, environment, and decisions concerning employees to retain and/or transfer.

40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages including, but not limited to, past and future economic and non-economic compensatory damages, back pay, front pay, pain and suffering, and the loss of salary, bonuses, benefits, and other compensation and other privileges and conditions of employment.

41. Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff in violation of the ADEA were willful, reckless, malicious, and/or in disregard of her rights, and render Defendant liable for past and future economic and non-economic compensatory and liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.*

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **(Retaliation – Americans with Disabilities Act, Age Discrimination in Employment Act)**

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations from the preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

43. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity by requesting a reasonable accommodation for her disability and complaining about her mistreatment because of her condition.

44. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity when she complained about unlawful discrimination and opposed Defendant's discriminatory conduct.

45. Defendant knew that Plaintiff engaged in such protected activity.

46. Defendant retaliated against her by engaging in actions including, but not limited to, refusing to participate in a good faith interactive process concerning Plaintiff's proposed accommodations, refusing to provide Plaintiff reasonable accommodation, harassing her and terminating Plaintiff's employment.

47. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for engaging in protected activity by failing to investigate her complaint, failing to take prompt remedial steps in response and taking action against her with respect to the terms, conditions and privileges of her employment, including but not limited to administering policies and procedures discriminatorily, refusing to transfer, recall, or rehire her into her former position or other open positions for which she was qualified, and terminating her employment.

50. Defendant intentionally, willfully and recklessly violated the ADA and the ADEA in bad faith and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff under 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.* and 29 U.S.C § 623, *et. seq.* for interest on the amount of losses described in the preceding paragraph, punitive damages, all fees and costs including but not limited to reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees, reinstatement and any equitable relief that this Court deems is appropriate including but not limited to front pay, employment, reinstatement and promotion.

**WHEREFORE,** Plaintiff Charlotte Cammans seeks an amount in excess of \$75,000 to fully, fairly and justly compensate her for injury, damage and loss, and respectfully prays that this Court enter judgment in her favor and award her past and future economic and non-economic compensatory damages, fringe benefits, consequential damages, incidental damages, punitive damages, liquidated damages, reinstatement, interest, attorneys' fees, expert fees, all fees and costs, and any additional equitable relief that the Court deems appropriate, including, but not limited to, back pay,



front pay, reinstatement and promotion.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021.

LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C.

/s/ Nicholas J. Enoch

Nicholas J. Enoch  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

THORMAN PETROV GROUP CO., LPA

/s/ Daniel P. Petrov

Daniel P. Petrov  
Sarah E. Wyss  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 7, 2021, I electronically submitted the attached Notice to the U.S. District Court Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing.

/s/ Shana Battles